

NEUTRON ACCELERATION IN UNIFORM ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

by

J. Anandan

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of South Carolina

Columbia, SC 29208

and

C. R. Hagen

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Rochester

Rochester, NY 14627

Abstract

The question as to whether neutron acceleration can occur in uniform electromagnetic fields is examined. Although such an effect has been predicted using the canonical equations of motion some doubt has been raised recently as to whether it is in principle observable for a spin $1/2$ particle. To resolve this issue a gedanken experiment is proposed and analyzed using a wave packet construction for the neutron beam. By allowing arbitrary orientation for the neutron spin as well as for the electric and magnetic fields a non vanishing acceleration of the center of the neutron wave packet is found which confirms the predictions of the canonical formalism.

It is well known that a particle with a magnetic dipole moment such as a neutron must undergo an acceleration in an inhomogeneous magnetic field. More recently it has been shown^{1,2} that a magnetic dipole in homogeneous magnetic and electric fields must undergo an acceleration both classically and quantum mechanically. This a consequence of the Hamiltonian^{3,4}

$$H = \frac{1}{2m}\mathbf{p}^2 - \mu \cdot [\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}] \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ and m refer to the momentum and mass respectively of the particle (e.g., a neutron). The magnetic moment is $\mu = \gamma\sigma/2$ with σ being the set of Pauli spin matrices. It is assumed that the fields $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are uniform and time independent. The Hamiltonian (1) has been obtained as a low energy consequence of Lorentz covariance² and may therefore be regarded as generally valid in both the classical and quantum mechanical cases whenever the magnetic moment of a neutral particle arises from its spin angular momentum. Upon calculating the commutators (or Poisson brackets) of H with $\mathbf{p}$, $\mathbf{x}$, and σ one finds

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{p}/m + \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{E} \times \mu \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{p}} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{\sigma} = \gamma\sigma \times [\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}] \quad . \quad (4)$$

It follows upon insertion of (4) into the time derivative of (2) that¹

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\gamma}{mc} \mathbf{E} \times (\mu \times \mathbf{B}) + O(E^2) \quad . \quad (5)$$

Thus there is a nonvanishing acceleration proportional in lowest order to both $|\mathbf{E}|$ and $|\mathbf{B}|$. For $E = 10^7 V/m$ and $B = 1T$ this can be as big as 6 cm/sec². The difference between the canonical momentum $\mathbf{p}$ and the kinetic momentum $m\mathbf{v}$ is sometimes called the “hidden momentum”, which has been studied also by others⁵. The acceleration (5) arises from the variation of the hidden momentum which follows from the precession of the magnetic moment in the magnetic field.

Although the overall consistency of the canonical formalism of quantum mechanics would appear to offer no alternative to the result (5), there has been a recent suggestion⁶ that in fact the predicted acceleration is unobservable even in principle. It should be noted, however, that at least under the assumption of constant fields such a question must be capable of being resolved unambiguously by a direct calculation based on Schrödinger's equation. In particular a neutron which passes from a field free region to one described by the Hamiltonian (1) can be viewed as being subject to a constant but spin dependent potential. The problem then reduces to solving Schrödinger's equation for motion through this potential for fixed $\mathbf{p}$.

More specifically, one may imagine carrying out the following experiment. A wave packet describing a neutron is allowed to propagate in the field free region $z < 0$ and to enter the uniform field region $z > 0$ at normal incidence. The coordinate system is chosen so that the center of the wave packet passes through the origin at time $t = 0$. The neutron is assumed to be totally polarized in the direction of the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$. At a distance z_D from the origin a detector is placed which measures the transverse displacement of the beam as a function of z_D to arbitrary accuracy. Since there can be no possibility of carrying out such measurements without a transverse localization of the beam, it is evident that the wave packet must be spread in at least one of the two transverse momentum variables which we take without loss of generality to be p_x . Furthermore, a spreading in the z coordinate is required in order to allow a time of flight to be inferred from the detector position z_D .

Thus the spatial part of the wave function for $z < 0$, $t < 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x, z) = \int \frac{dp_z dp_x}{(2\pi)^2} \exp(ip_x x + ip_z z) \\ \exp\left(-i\frac{p_x^2 + p_z^2}{2m}t\right) f(p_x, p_z) \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The momentum space wave function $f(p_x, p_z)$ is taken to be an even function in p_x which

is peaked around the point $p_x = 0$, $p_z = k$. It is normalized by the condition

$$\int \frac{dp_z dp_x}{(2\pi)^2} |f(p_x, p_z)|^2 = 1 \quad .$$

While a Gaussian function

$$f(p_x, p_z) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\Delta p_x \Delta p_z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{p_x^2}{4\Delta p_x^2} - \frac{(p_z - k)^2}{4\Delta p_z^2} \right)$$

would allow an explicit calculation of the wave function to be performed, it is not in fact required for this problem. A localization of the wave function in the y- direction is possible as well, but is basically irrelevant to the result.

When the wave packet passes through the origin the usual reflection and transmission effects are encountered although one clearly is interested only in the transmitted part for the purpose of this work. Matching the function and its derivative at $z = 0$ one finds that to lowest order in the fields the spin part is unaltered while the transmitted beam has a form identical to that given by (6) provided that p_z in the exponential $e^{ip_z z}$ is replaced by the momentum component $\tilde{p}_z$ appropriate to propagation in the nonzero field region. The latter is obtained from the equation

$$\frac{p_z^2}{2m} = \frac{\tilde{p}_z^2}{2m} - \mu \cdot \left[\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right] \quad (7)$$

where (to lowest nonvanishing order in $\mathbf{E}$) it is the vector $\mathbf{p}$ which appears on the right hand side of (7) in combination with $\mathbf{E}$. Clearly, one could proceed at this point by considering separately the two eigenmodes of propagation and determining the appropriate spin part of the wave function by defining a spin basis with respect to the direction of the magnetic field in the “neutron rest frame”

$$\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}.$$

Fortunately, a simpler and more elegant approach is possible which involves calculating $\tilde{p}_z$ as a matrix in the spin space and using the projector or the density matrix in spin space

$$P_n = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma \cdot \mathbf{n}),$$

satisfying $P_n^2 = P_n$, to include the initial polarization state of the beam.

To the required order one finds from (7) that

$$\tilde{p}_z = p_z + \frac{m\gamma}{2p_z} \sigma \cdot \left[\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right] .$$

This then leads to the evaluation of

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle = & \int dx dz \quad x \int \frac{dp_z dp'_z dp_x dp'_x}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i(p_x - p'_x)x + i(p_z - p'_z)z} \\ & \exp \left(-i \frac{p_x^2 + p_z^2 - p'^2_x - p'^2_z}{2m} t \right) f(p_x, p_z) f^*(p'_x, p'_z) T \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T = & \text{Tr} \exp \left[iz \frac{m\gamma}{2p_z} \sigma \cdot \left(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right) \right] \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma \cdot \mathbf{n}) \\ & \exp \left[-iz \frac{m\gamma}{2p'_z} \sigma \cdot \left(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{E} \right) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The evaluation of the trace is simplified by the observation that because of the antisymmetry of the integrand in Eq. (8) under the simultaneous change of sign of x , p_x , and p'_x only those terms in T which are odd in p_x and p'_x can contribute. One proceeds by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left[iz \frac{m\gamma}{2p_z} \sigma \cdot \left(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right) \right] \\ = C + i \left| \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right|^{-1} \sigma \cdot \left(\mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right) S \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(C, S) \equiv (\cos, \sin) \left[\frac{m\gamma z}{2p_z} \left| \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{mc} \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E} \right| \right]$$

which is easily seen by a diagonalization of the matrix in the exponent. Using a prime to denote the same quantities when p is replaced by p' , it is first noted that the CC' term makes no contribution. To the desired order one thus finds for (9) the result

$$\begin{aligned} T = & \frac{i}{|\mathbf{B}|^2} S S' \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \frac{1}{mc} \\ & + \frac{i}{2} (C S' + C' S) \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}|} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times (\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \frac{1}{mc} . \end{aligned}$$

Upon inserting this into (8) it is observed that the canonical commutation relations imply that the combination $x(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$ becomes $i\ell$, where ℓ is the unit vector in the x -direction. Hence, (8) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \rangle = & \int \frac{dp_x dp_z}{(2\pi)^2} |f(p_x, p_z)|^2 \\ & \left[-\frac{1}{mc|\mathbf{B}|^2} S^2(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \ell) - \frac{1}{mc|\mathbf{B}|} CS\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \ell \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

To complete the calculation one notes that it is sufficient to work to lowest order in $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ and to neglect corrections of the order of $\Delta p_z/k$ where Δp_z is the wave packet width in momentum space. This allows C and S to be replaced by 1 and $m\gamma z|\mathbf{B}|/2k$, respectively. One now regards ℓ , which is in the transverse direction in which the wave is localized, to be an arbitrary unit vector in the xy -plane so that x is replaced by $\mathbf{x} \cdot \ell$. Then (10) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x} \cdot \ell \rangle = & \left\{ \frac{1}{2} t^2 \frac{\gamma}{mc} \ell \cdot [\mathbf{E} \times (\gamma \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B})] \right. \\ & \left. + t\ell \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \frac{1}{2} \gamma \mathbf{n} \frac{1}{mc} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where z has been replaced by z_D which in turn is related to the time of flight t by $z_D = kt/m$. This identification is made possible by the fact that the wave packet is localized in the z coordinate while the velocity of its center may be taken in eq. (11) to be k/m in the present approximation. Eq. (11) is valid for small t meaning that $t \ll (1/\text{Larmor frequency})$. This restriction is needed because the acceleration averages to zero for large t , which was why it was proposed² to observe it by sending the neutrons through an array with periodic field reversals which would allow the effect of the acceleration to accumulate.

The verification of the expressions derived in ref. 1 for the acceleration and the kinetic momentum is now immediate. For short times t Eq. (5) clearly implies that there should exist a t^2 term in the mean transverse displacement whose coefficient is one-half the acceleration. It is striking that the calculation presented here yields an acceleration which has precisely the vector structure implied by the canonical formalism. Also noteworthy is the fact that the second term in (11) is linear in t and corresponds to the uniform drift

of the particle beam implied by the difference between the canonical and kinetic momenta as indicated in Eq. (2). This completes the rederivation in the Schrödinger picture of the velocity and acceleration obtained previously in the Heisenberg picture¹ and classically^{1,2}.

The recent claim⁶ that this acceleration is not observable for a spin 1/2 particle will now be examined. This analysis, as will be seen, illustrates the physical meaning of the hidden momentum and shows interesting aspects of the velocity dependent potential in (1). This claim is based on the plane wave solution obtained in ref. 4 which may be written as

$$\psi = A \exp(ik_+ z) |+\rangle + B \exp(ik_- z) |-\rangle, \quad (12)$$

where $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ are respectively the spin states with respect to a quantization axis along $\mathbf{B}'$. It was claimed⁶ that for each of these two states, the acceleration (5) vanishes within the accuracy of the Hamiltonian (1). Indeed, in the computation of the phase shift experienced by the neutron in ref. 4 two separate phase shifts were obtained for these two eigenmodes, and this prediction was experimentally confirmed⁷. The correctness of this analysis is ultimately due to the following fact. Suppose F_C is the operator which depends on external fields, mirrors, and the space-time path C around which the interference takes place that transforms one of the interfering states in the interfering region into the other. The observation of the variation of intensity in an interference experiment for different incoming states determines the eigenvalues of the Hermitian operator⁸ $R = F_C + F_C^\dagger$. In the present case, $|+\rangle$ and $|-\rangle$ are the eigenstates of this operator R .

However, to study the acceleration experienced by the neutron, it is necessary to express ψ in terms of the *eigenstates of the quantum mechanical acceleration operator* defined by (5). For the neutron, this acceleration operator is

$$\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\gamma\mu}{mc} \mathbf{E} \times (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{B})$$

whose eigenstates are denoted by $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$. The corresponding eigenvalues are $\pm |\frac{\gamma\mu}{mc} \mathbf{E} \times (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{B})|$, which are therefore the accelerations experienced by the eigenmodes that are

proportional to $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$. Now ψ is a superposition of $|\uparrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\rangle$ which have opposite accelerations. In particular if either $\mathbf{A}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ is zero, then the expectation value of the acceleration operator vanishes; yet ψ is an equal superposition of these two eigenmodes with opposite accelerations. This is analogous to the Stern-Gerlach experiment with the spin perpendicular to the inhomogeneity of the magnetic field for which the wave packet splits into the two states that are eigenstates of the acceleration operator even though the classical acceleration vanishes in this case⁹. If the dipole were charged, as in the case of an electron, its acceleration could be detected by the radiation it emits. For the present case of the neutron, it was shown how the quantum mechanical magnetization and polarization caused by its magnetic moment generates an electromagnetic field¹. Therefore by measuring the electromagnetic field associated with the neutron, its acceleration could in principle be detected, even when it is in a plane wave state¹⁰.

In a plane wave state, however, we cannot see the effect of the acceleration as a motion of the wave because it extends uniformly over all space. Thus it is necessary to form a localized wave packet to observe the acceleration in this manner. For a given $\mathbf{p}$, the two eigenmodes with their spin states having quantization axis along $\mathbf{B}'$ have vanishing expectation value for the acceleration, because their spins are conserved. However, the plane wave components forming the wave packet with different values of $\mathbf{p}$ have *different directions* for the corresponding $\mathbf{B}'$. Therefore the spins for the different plane wave components with a fixed initial polarization are not conserved, which is why the wave packet is able to accelerate. This is basically because of the velocity dependent potential in (1).

To remove any remaining doubt, one can obtain the spatial motion of a polarized neutron, whose initial spatial wave function and spin polarization are arbitrary, in constant electric and magnetic fields. The wave function Ψ at time $t = 0$ is taken to be

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \psi(\mathbf{x})\phi$$

where ϕ is a normalized two component constant spinor. Then

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \exp(-itH)\Psi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$$

where H is given by (1). Then for small t , on using the Baker- Campbell-Hausdorff formula,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = & \exp(it\mu\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B}) \exp(-it\frac{1}{mc}\mu\sigma \cdot \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{E}) \\ & \exp\{it^2\frac{\mu^2}{mc}[(\sigma \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{E}] \cdot \mathbf{p}\} \psi_0(\mathbf{x}, t) \phi \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the exponent of the last exponential arises from the commutator of the last two terms in (1), with the higher order commutators neglected, and

$$\psi_0(\mathbf{x}, t) = \exp(-it\frac{1}{2m}\mathbf{p}^2)\psi(\mathbf{x})$$

is the wave packet at time t that would have evolved from $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ at $t = 0$ in the absence of any external fields.

A postselection is now performed by projecting this state on the spin state resulting from the Larmor precession of ϕ during the time t [namely, $\chi(t) = \exp(it\mu\sigma \cdot \mathbf{B})\phi$], by sending the beam through a Stern-Gerlach apparatus with a magnetic field whose inhomogeneity is in the direction of polarization of ϕ . The probability amplitude for transition to $\chi(t)$ is

$$\langle \chi(t) | \Psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle = \psi_0(\mathbf{x}'(t), t) \quad , \quad (14)$$

for small t , where

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = \mathbf{x} + t\frac{\mu}{mc}\langle \sigma \rangle \times \mathbf{E} + t^2\frac{\mu^2}{mc}(\langle \sigma \rangle \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{E}$$

with $\langle \sigma \rangle = \phi^\dagger \sigma \phi$ and the fact that $i\mathbf{p}$ in Eq. (13) is the translation operator has been used. Hence the wave packet acquires the shift in velocity $-\frac{\mu}{mc}\langle \sigma \rangle \times \mathbf{E}$, and acceleration $-\frac{2\mu^2}{mc}(\langle \sigma \rangle \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{E}$ as predicted by (2) and (5). This can be tested in principle by a polarized neutron beam striking a screen, turning on the electromagnetic field, and observing the shift in the intensity distribution on the screen. By repeating this experiment with different

electric and magnetic fields, it can be determined whether these shifts are in agreement with the prediction (14). Thus one sees explicitly by means of a wave packet that the acceleration predicted previously^{1,2} is in principle observable for a spin 1/2 particle contrary to the conclusion of ref. 6.

J. A. thanks Y. Aharonov for clarifying discussions, and the NSF for support under grant no. PHY-8807812. C. R. H. acknowledges the support of U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER40685.

References

1. J. Anandan, Phys. Lett. A **138**, 347 (1989); errata Phys. Lett. A. **152**, 504 (1991).
2. J. Anandan in Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Found. of Quant. Mech., Tokyo, 1989, edited by S. Kobayashi *et al.* (Physical Society of Japan, 1990)
3. J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **73**, 407 (1948).
4. J. Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett., **24**, 1660 (1982).
5. W. Shockley and R. P. James, Phys. Rev. Lett. **18**, 876 (1967); S. Coleman and J. H. Van Vleck, Phys. Rev. **171**, 1370 (1969); Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 319 (1984); Y. Aharonov, P. Pearle, and L. Vaidman, Phys. Rev. A **37**, 4052 (1988).
6. R. C. Casella and S. A. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1625 (1992).
7. A. Cimmino, G. I. Opat, A. G. Klein, H. Kaiser, S. A. Werner, M. Arif, and R. Clothier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 380 (1989).
8. J. Anandan in *Topological Properties and Global Structure of Space-Time*, eds. P. G. Bergmann and V. De Sabbata (Plenum Press, NY 1985), p. 1-14.
9. Another example, pointed out to us by Helmut Rauch, is the interference of neutron beams around a line charge with the neutrons polarized so that the *expectation value*

of the force vanishes, which was considered by Aharonov and Casher⁵. As in the Stern-Gerlach experiment described here, the beam splits as a consequence of the quantum mechanical force. This is unlike the Aharonov-Bohm phase shift in which there is a phase shift even though the acceleration (or force) operator vanishes along the beams, and not merely its expectation value.

10. In support of this conclusion Y. Aharonov has provided us with the following interesting argument. Consider two charged particles that are EPR correlated in position and momentum and have opposite accelerations. If a measurement is performed on one particle so that it is localized, then the other would also be localized and consequently each particle would radiate. On the other hand if a measurement of momentum is made on the first particle then each particle would be in a plane wave state. Thus a plane wave state must also radiate since otherwise a signal could be sent faster than the speed of light.